The FCC has a responsibility to require all broadcasting programming is balanced and fair. If Sinclair Media uses the airwaves to advanatage a political party, the FCC must act and remove to restore the balance either by insisting that they give equal time to other candidates or to censuring them as a broadcaster. Is the FCC to go the way of the EPA and no longer be a regulating agency?

Sinclair Broadcasting's decision to force their stations to air an anti-Kerry documentary days before the election is a clear example of the dangers of media consolidation.

Sinclair uses the public airwaves free of charge, and is obligated by law to serve the public interest. But when large companies control the airwaves, we get more of what's good for the bottom line and less of what we need for our democracy. Instead of something produced at "News Central" far away, it's more important that we see real people from our own communities and more substantive news about issues that matter.

Sinclair's actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them. They show why the license renewal process needs to involve more than a returned postcard. Thank you.